

UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

JEFLEA MARIA ANTONETA

**LOCALIZATION IN THE CATEGORY OF
MTL - ALGEBRAS**

SUMMARY OF THE PH. D. THESIS

SUPERVISOR:

PROF.DR. DUMITRU BUSNEAG

2009

Introduction

In the last years, residuated structures became popular in computer science since it was understood that they play a fundamental role in fuzzy logics. We recall that the origin of residuated lattices is in Mathematical Logic without contraction. They have been investigated by Krull ([70]), Dilworth ([38]), Ward and Dilworth ([97]), Ward ([96]), Balbes and Dwinger ([2]) and Pavelka ([80]).

Apart from their logical interest, residuated lattices have interesting algebraic properties (see [7], [21], [38], [47], [69], [79], [96], [97]). As Idziak proved in [58], that the class of residuated lattices is equational. In literature, these lattices have been known under many names: *BCK-lattices* in [52], *full BCK-algebras* in [70], *FL_{ew}-algebras* in [78], and *integral, residuated, commutative l-monoids* in [8].

Basic Fuzzy logic (*BL* from now on) is the many-valued residuated logic introduced by Hájek in [52] to cope with the logic of continuous t-norms and their residua. Monoidal logic (*ML* from now on), introduced by Höhle ([56]), is a logic whose algebraic counterpart is the class of residuated lattices; *MTL* algebras (see [41]) are algebraic structures for the Esteva-Godo monoidal t-norm based logic (*MTL*), a many-valued propositional calculus that formalizes the structure of the real unit interval $[0, 1]$, induced by a left-continuous t-norm. *MTL* algebras were independently introduced in [43] under the name *weak-BL algebras*.

We recall ([43]) that a non-commutative residuated lattice (called sometimes *pseudo-residuated lattice*, *biresiduated lattice* or *generalized residuated lattice*) is an algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ satisfying the following conditions: $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice; $(A, \odot, 1)$ is a monoid and $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ iff $y \leq x \rightsquigarrow z$ for any $x, y, z \in A$.

Pseudo BL-algebras were introduced in [39] as a non-commutative extension of Hájek's *BL*-algebras. *Pseudo BL-algebras* are bounded non-commutative residuated lattices $(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$ which satisfy the *pseudo-divisibility condition* $x \wedge y = (x \rightarrow y) \odot x = x \odot (x \rightsquigarrow y)$ and the *pseudo-prelinearity condition* $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x) = 1$. Depending on the above conditions, there are two directions to extend *pseudo BL-algebras*. One direction investigates the (bounded) non-commutative residuated lattices satisfying the pseudo-divisibility condition which were studied under the name (*bounded*) *divisible pseudo-residuated lattices* or *bounded RL-monoids*. The second direction deals with (bounded) non-commutative residuated lattices with the pseudo-prelinearity condition, that is, *pseudo MTL-algebras*.

So, *pseudo MTL-algebras* are non-commutative fuzzy structures which arise from pseudo t-norms, namely, *pseudo BL-algebras* without the pseudo-divisibility condition.

The main aim of this thesis is to characterize the lattice of congruence filters for a residuated lattice, the archimedean and hyperarchimedean residuated lattices and

to develop a theory of localization for *MTL*-algebras and to extend this theory to the non-commutative case of pseudo *MTL*-algebras (using the model of localization for *BL* and pseudo *BL*-algebras, see [18] and [20]).

A remarkable construction in ring theory is the *localization ring* $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ associated with a Gabriel topology \mathcal{F} on a ring A (see [45]); for certain issues connected to the term *localization* we have in view Chapter IV : *Localization* in N. Popescu' s book [86].

In Lambek' s book [74] it is introduced the notion of *complete ring of quotients* of a commutative ring, as a particular case of localization ring (relative to the *dense ideals*).

Starting from the example of the ring, J. Schmid introduces in [90], [91] the notion of *maximal lattice of quotients* for a distributive lattice. The central role in this construction is played by the concept of *multipliers*, defined by W. H. Cornish in [32], [33].

Using the model of localization ring (see [45]), in [48] is defined for a bounded distributive lattice L the *localization lattice* $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ of L with respect to a topology \mathcal{F} on L and is proved that the maximal lattice of quotients for a distributive lattice is a lattice of localization (relative to the topology of *regular ideals*).

The same theory is also valid for the *lattice of fractions* of a distributive lattice with 0 and 1 relative to an \wedge -closed system (see [10]).

A theory of localization for Hilbert and Hertz algebras was developed in [12]; for the case of Heyting algebras see [34], for the case of *MV* and pseudo *MV*-algebras see [14], [22], [82], for the case of *BL* and pseudo *BL*-algebras see [18], [20], [82] and for LMn-algebras see [25].

For some informal explanations of the notion of *localization* see [76], [87], [88].

All original results from this thesis are contained in [23], [63], [64] și [83]-[85].

Chapter 1 : Residuated lattices

The first chapter contains some results relative to residuated lattices.

All original results are contained in [23], [64] și [85].

A *residuated lattice* is an algebra

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$$

of type (2,2,2,2,0,0) equipped with an order \leq satisfying the following:

- (LR₁) $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice;
- (LR₂) $(A, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative ordered monoid;
- (LR₃) \odot and \rightarrow form an adjoint pair, i.e. $c \leq a \rightarrow b$ iff $a \odot c \leq b$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.

In this thesis, the symbols \Rightarrow and \Leftrightarrow are used for logical implication and logical equivalence.

Lukasiewicz structure, Prodis structure, Gödel structure and Boolean algebras are examples of residuated lattice. Also, we present in my thesis two examples of residuated lattices which are not distributive lattices.

The class \mathcal{RL} of residuated lattices is equational (see, [58]).

A residuated lattice $(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called *BL-algebra*, if the following two identities hold in A :

- (BL₁) $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$ (divisibility);
- (BL₂) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$ (prelinearity).

Lukasiewicz structure, Gödel structure and Product structure are *BL*- algebras but not every residuated lattice, however, is a *BL*-algebra (see [93], p.16).

A residuated lattice $(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is an *MV*-algebra iff it satisfies the additional condition: $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$, for any $x, y \in A$.

We denote by $B(A)$ the set of all complemented elements of the lattice $L(A) = (A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, where A is a residuated lattice.

We recall the basic definitions, examples and rules of calculus in a residuated lattice and we prove new results about these algebras. Also we present the connection between residuated lattices and Hilbert algebras.

For a residuated lattice A We denote by $Ds(A)$ the set of all congruence filters (deductive systems) of A . The lattice $(Ds(A), \subseteq)$ is a complete Brouwerian lattice (hence distributive), the compact elements being exactly the principal **ds** of A .

For two deductive systems $D_1, D_2 \in Ds(A)$ we define

$$D_1 \rightarrow D_2 = \{a \in A : D_1 \cap [a] \subseteq D_2\},$$

so, $(Ds(A), \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \{1\}, A)$ become a Heyting algebra, , where for $D \in Ds(A)$,

$$D^* = D \rightarrow \mathbf{0} = D \rightarrow \{1\} = \{x \in A : x \vee y = 1, \text{ for every } y \in D\}.$$

Theorem 1.39 characterizes the residuated lattices for which the lattice of congruence filters is a Boolean algebra :

If A is a residuated lattice, then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $(Ds(A), \vee, \wedge, *, \{1\}, A)$ is a Boolean algebra;
- (ii) Every \mathbf{ds} of A is principal and for every $a \in A$ there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $a \vee (a^n)^* = 1$.

For the distributive lattice $Ds(A)$ we denote by $Spec(A)$ the set of all prime deductive systems of A and by $Irc(A)$ the set of all completely meet-irreducible elements of $Ds(A)$.

In my thesis we put in evidence new characterizations for the elements of $Spec(A)$ and $Irc(A)$ in Proposition 1.46., Corollary 1.47., 1.48. and Theorem 1.49. :

For a proper deductive system $P \in Ds(A)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $P \in Spec(A)$,
- (ii) Pentru orice $x, y \in A \setminus P$ there is $z \in A \setminus P$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$,
- (iii) If $x, y \in A$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle \subseteq P$, then $x \in P$ or $y \in P$
- (iv) For every $x, y \in A/P, x \neq 1, y \neq 1$, there is $z \in A/P, z \neq 1$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$,
- (v) For every $D \in Ds(A), D \rightarrow P = P$ or $D \subseteq P$.

Relative to the uniqueness of deductive systems as intersection of primes we prove that this is possible only in the case of Boolean algebras :

Theorem 1.51. *If every $D \in Ds(A)$ has an unique representation as an intersection of elements of $Spec(A)$, then $(Ds(A), \vee, \wedge, *, \{1\}, A)$ is a Boolean algebra.*

A deductive system $D \in Ds(A), D \neq A$ is called *maximal relative to a* if $a \notin D$ and if $D' \in Ds(A)$ is proper such that $a \notin D'$, and $D \subseteq D'$, then $D = D'$.

About these deductive systems we have the following results:

Corollary 1.53. *For any $a \in A, a \neq 1$, there is a deductive system D_a maximal relative to a .*

Theorem 1.54. *For $D \in Ds(A), D \neq A$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $D \in Irc(A)$;
- (ii) There is $a \in A$ such that D is maximal relative to a .

Theorem 1.55. *Let $D \in Ds(A)$ be a deductive system, $D \neq A$ and $a \in A \setminus D$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) D is maximal relative to a ;
- (ii) For every $x \in A \setminus D$ there is $n \geq 1$ such that $x^n \rightarrow a \in D$.

and

Corollary 1.56. *For $D \in Ds(A), D \neq A$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $D \in Irc(A)$;
- (ii) In the set $A/D \setminus \{1\}$ we have an element $p \neq 1$ with the property that for every $\alpha \in A/D \setminus \{1\}$ there is $n \geq 1$ such that $\alpha^n \leq p$.

A deductive system P of A is a *minimal prime* if $P \in Spec(A)$ and, whenever $Q \in Spec(A)$ and $Q \subseteq P$, we have $P = Q$.

We obtain that

Proposition 1.57. *If P is a minimal prime deductive system, then for any $a \in P$ there is $b \in A \setminus P$ such that $a \vee b = 1$.*

An element a of a residuated lattice A is called *infinitesimal* if $a \neq 1$ and $a^n \geq a^*$ for any $n \geq 1$. We denote by $Inf(A)$ the set of all infinitesimals of A and by $Rad(A)$ the intersection of the maximal deductive systems of A .

We obtain

Corollary 1.66. $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$.

We introduce the notions of *archimedean* and *hyperarchimedean* residuated lattice and we prove a theorem of *Nachbin* type for residuated lattices.

A residuated lattice A is called *archimedean* if one of the equivalent conditions from Lemma 1.67 is satisfied:

Lemma 1.67. *In any residuated lattice A the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every $a \in A, a^n \geq a^*$ for any $n \geq 1$ implies $a = 1$;*
- (ii) *For every $a, b \in A, a^n \geq b^*$ for any $n \geq 1$ implies $a \vee b = 1$;*
- (iii) *For every $a, b \in A, a^n \geq b^*$ for any $n \geq 1$ implies $a \rightarrow b = b$ and $b \rightarrow a = a$.*

One can easily remark that a residuated lattice is archimedean iff it has no infinitesimals.

An element $a \in A$ is called *archimedean* if it satisfy the condition: there is $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n \in B(A)$ (equivalent with $a \vee (a^n)^* = 1$). A residuated lattice A is called *hyperarchimedean* if all its elements are archimedean. Every hyperarchimedean residuated lattice is archimedean.

For a residuated lattice A , if A is hyperarchimedean, then for any deductive system D , the quotient residuated lattice A/D is archimedean.

We have the following result:

Theorem 1.70. *For a residuated lattice A the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is hyperarchimedean;*
- (ii) *$\text{Spec}(A) = \text{Max}(A)$;*
- (iii) *Any prime deductive system is minimal prime.*

and a theorem of *Nachbin* type for residuated lattices :

Theorem 1.71. *For a residuated lattice A the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is hyperarchimedean;*
- (ii) *$(\text{Spec}(A), \subseteq)$ is unordered.*

Chapter 2 : Localization of *MTL* -algebras

In this chapter we develop a theory of localization for *MTL*-algebras.

The original results are contained in [83] and [84].

We recall that a *MTL algebra* is a residuated lattice satisfying the prelinearity equation:

$$(MTL) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1.$$

Every linearly ordered residuated lattice is a *MTL*- algebra. A *MTL*- algebra A is a *BL*- algebra iff in A is verified the divisibility condition: $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$. So, *BL*- algebras are examples of *MTL*- algebras,

We recall the basic definitions, examples and rules of calculus in *MTL*- algebras and we prove new results about these algebras.

For a *MTL*- algebra A we denote by $B(A)$ the boolean center of A .

In this chapter for a *MTL*-algebra A we introduce the notion of *MTL*-algebra of fractions relative to a \wedge -closed system .

For a \wedge -close system $S \subseteq A$ ($1 \in S$ and $x, y \in S$ implies $x \wedge y \in S$) we consider the congruence relation θ_S defined by :

$$(x, y) \in \theta_S \text{ if and only if there is } e \in S \cap B(A) \text{ such that } x \wedge e = y \wedge e.$$

For $x \in A$ we denote by x/S the equivalence class of x relative to θ_S and by $A[S] = A/\theta_S$. In $A[S]$, $\mathbf{0} = 0/S$, $\mathbf{1} = 1/S$ and for every $x, y \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} x/S \wedge y/S &= (x \wedge y)/S, x/S \vee y/S = (x \vee y)/S \\ x/S \odot y/S &= (x \odot y)/S, x/S \rightarrow y/S = (x \rightarrow y)/S. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have :

Theorem 2.5. *If A' is a *MTL*-algebra and $f : A \rightarrow A'$ a morphism of *MTL*-algebras such that $f(S \cap B(A)) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, then there is a unique morphism of *MTL*-algebras $f' : A[S] \rightarrow A'$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{p_S} & A[S] \\ \searrow f & & \swarrow f' \\ & A' & \end{array}$$

*is commutative (i.e. $f' \circ p_S = f$), unde $p_S : A \rightarrow A[S]$ is an ontomorphism of *MTL*- algebras.*

This theorem allows us to call $A[S]$ the *MTL*-algebra of fractions relative to the \wedge -closed system S .

If the *MTL*-algebra A is a *BL*- algebra then $A[S]$ is also a *BL*- algebra.

Starting from the model of J. Schmid ([90], [91]) we introduce the notion of *maximal MTL-algebra of quotients* for a *MTL*- algebra using the *strong multipliers*.

We denote by $I(A)$ the set of all *ordered ideals* of *MTL*- algebra $L(A)$:

$$I(A) = \{I \subseteq A : \text{if } x, y \in A, x \leq y \text{ and } y \in I, \text{ then } x \in I\}.$$

By *partial strong multiplier* on A we mean a map $f : I \rightarrow A$, where $I \in I(A)$, which verifies the next axioms:

- (*smMTL*₁) $f(e \odot x) = e \odot f(x)$, for every $e \in B(A)$ and $x \in I$;
- (*smMTL*₂) $x \odot (x \rightarrow f(x)) = f(x)$, for every $x \in I$;
- (*smMTL*₃) If $e \in I \cap B(A)$, then $f(e) \in B(A)$;
- (*smMTL*₄) $x \wedge f(e) = e \wedge f(x)$, for every $e \in I \cap B(A)$ and $x \in I$.
(we remark that $e \odot x \in I$ since $e \odot x \leq e \wedge x \leq x$).

By *smMTL*₂ we deduce (*smMTL*₅) : $f(x) \leq x$, for every $x \in I$;

If A is a *BL* algebra, then *smMTL*₂ is a consequence of *smMTL*₅ (because in this case $x \odot (x \rightarrow f(x)) \stackrel{\text{smMTL}_5}{=} f(x)$, for every $x \in I$).

By $\text{dom}(f) \in I(A)$ we denote the domain of f ; if $\text{dom}(f) = A$, we call f *total*. To simplify the language, we will use *strong multiplier* instead *partial strong multiplier*, using *total* to indicate that the domain of a certain strong multiplier is A .

The maps $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} : A \rightarrow A$ defined by $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$ and $\mathbf{1}(x) = x$, for every $x \in A$ are strong multipliers on A . For $a \in B(A)$ and $I \in I(A)$ the map $f_a : I \rightarrow A$ defined by $f_a(x) = a \wedge x$, for every $x \in I$ is a strong multiplier on A (called *principal*). If $\text{dom}(f_a) = A$, we denote f_a by $\overline{f_a}$.

For $I \in I(A)$, we denote

$$M(I, A) = \{f : I \rightarrow A \mid f \text{ is a strong multiplier on } A\}$$

si

$$M(A) = \bigcup_{I \in I(A)} M(I, A).$$

If $I_1, I_2 \in I(A)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, A), i = 1, 2$, then

$$f_1(x) \odot [x \rightarrow f_2(x)] = f_2(x) \odot [x \rightarrow f_1(x)], \text{ for every } x \in I_1 \cap I_2.$$

For $I_1, I_2 \in I(A)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, A), i = 1, 2$, we define $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2, f_1 \otimes f_2, f_1 \rightsquigarrow f_2 : I_1 \cap I_2 \rightarrow A$ by

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x),$$

$$(f_1 \vee f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \vee f_2(x),$$

$$(f_1 \otimes f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \odot [x \rightarrow f_2(x)] \stackrel{\text{mtl-cr}}{=} f_2(x) \odot [x \rightarrow f_1(x)],$$

$$(f_1 \rightsquigarrow f_2)(x) = x \odot [f_1(x) \rightarrow f_2(x)],$$

for every $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

We obtain :

Proposition 2.14. ($M(A), \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightsquigarrow, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$) is a *MTL*-algebra.

The map $v_A : B(A) \rightarrow M(A)$ defined by $v_A(a) = \overline{f_a}$ for every $a \in B(A)$ is a monomorphism of *MTL*-algebras.

A nonempty set $I \subseteq A$ is called *regular* if for every $x, y \in A$ such that $x \wedge e = y \wedge e$ for every $e \in I \cap B(A)$, then $x = y$.

We denote by

$$M_r(A) = \{f \in M(A) : \text{dom}(f) \in I(A) \cap R(A)\},$$

where

$$R(A) = \{I \subseteq A : I \text{ is a regular subset of } A\}.$$

On $M_r(A)$ we consider the congruence relation ρ_A defined by

$(f_1, f_2) \in \rho_A$ if and only if f_1 and f_2 coincide on the intersection of their domains.

For $f \in M_r(A)$ with $I = \text{dom}(f) \in I(A) \cap R(A)$, we denote by $[f, I]$ the equivalence class of f modulo ρ_A and by

$A'' = M_r(A)/\rho_A$, which is a Boolean algebra (see Remark 2.14.).

Let the map $\overline{v}_A : B(A) \rightarrow A''$ defined by $\overline{v}_A(a) = [\overline{f}_a, A]$ for every $a \in B(A)$.

Then:

- (i) \overline{v}_A is a monomorphism of MTL algebras;
- (ii) For every $a \in B(A)$, $[\overline{f}_a, A] \in B(A'')$;
- (iii) $\overline{v}_A(B(A)) \in R(A'')$.

Since for every $a \in B(A)$, \overline{f}_a is the unique maximal strong multiplier on $[\overline{f}_a, A]$ we can identify $[\overline{f}_a, A]$ with \overline{f}_a . So, since \overline{v}_A is injective map, the elements of $B(A)$ can be identified with the elements of the set $\{\overline{f}_a : a \in B(A)\}$.

We introduce the notions of MTL -algebra of fractions and maximal MTL -algebra of quotients .

If A is a MTL algebra, a MTL algebra F is called MTL algebra of fractions of A if:

- (fr - MTL_1) $B(A)$ is a MTL subalgebra of F ;
- (fr - MTL_2) For every $a', b', c' \in F$, $a' \neq b'$, there exists $e \in B(A)$ such that $e \wedge a' \neq e \wedge b'$ and $e \wedge c' \in B(A)$.

As a notational convenience, we write $A \preceq F$ to indicate that F is a MTL algebra of fractions of A .

$Q(A)$ is the maximal MTL algebra of quotients of A if $A \preceq Q(A)$ and for every MTL algebra F with $A \preceq F$ there exists a monomorphism of MTL algebras $i : F \rightarrow Q(A)$.

If $A \preceq F$, then F is a Boolean algebra, so $Q(A)$ is also a Boolean algebra.

An important result is:

Theorem 2.25 A'' is the maximal MTL algebra $Q(A)$ of quotients of A .

We introduce on a MTL - algebra the notion of *topology* as in the case of rings or distributive lattices. We study the notions of MTL - algebra of localization and strong MTL - algebra of localization for a MTL - algebra A relative to the topology \mathcal{F} on A ; We denote these by $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $s - A_{\mathcal{F}}$ and we prove that the maximal MTL - algebra of quotients and MTL - algebra of fraction relative to an \wedge - closed system are strong MTL - algebras of localization.

We define the notion of \mathcal{F} - multiplier, where \mathcal{F} is a topology on a MTL - algebra A .

A non-empty set \mathcal{F} of elements $I \in I(A)$ will be called a *topology* on A if the following axioms hold:

- (top_1) If $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $I_2 \in I(A)$ and $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, then $I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ (hence $A \in \mathcal{F}$);
- (top_2) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

We will use the \mathcal{F} -multipliers in the construction of MTL - algebra of localization $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ relative to the topology \mathcal{F} .

We define the congruence relation $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ on A by:

$(x, y) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}} \Leftrightarrow$ there is $I \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge x = e \wedge y$ for every $e \in I \cap B(A)$.

A \mathcal{F} -multiplier is a mapping $f : I \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ where $I \in \mathcal{F}$ and for every $x \in I$ and $e \in B(A)$ the following axioms are fulfilled:

- (*mMTL*₁) $f(e \odot x) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(x) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \odot f(x)$;
 (*mMTL*₂) $x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \odot (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow f(x)) = f(x)$.

We shall denote by $M(I, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ the set of all the \mathcal{F} -multipliers having the domain $I \in \mathcal{F}$ and $M(A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$. If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ we have a canonical mapping $\varphi_{I_1, I_2} : M(I_2, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow M(I_1, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ defined by $\varphi_{I_1, I_2}(f) = f|_{I_1}$ for $f \in M(I_2, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$. Let us consider the directed system of sets

$$\langle \{M(I, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})\}_{I \in \mathcal{F}}, \{\varphi_{I_1, I_2}\}_{I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}, I_1 \subseteq I_2} \rangle$$

and denote by $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ the inductive limit in the category of sets:

$$A_{\mathcal{F}} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

For any \mathcal{F} -multiplier $f : I \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ we shall denote by $\widehat{(I, f)}$ the equivalence class of f in $A_{\mathcal{F}}$.

If $f_i : I_i \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, $i = 1, 2$, are \mathcal{F} -multipliers, then $\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} = \widehat{(I_2, f_2)}$ (in $A_{\mathcal{F}}$) if and only if there is $I \in \mathcal{F}$, $I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ such that $f_{1|I} = f_{2|I}$.

Let $f_i : I_i \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, (with $I_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2$), \mathcal{F} -multipliers. We consider the mappings $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2, f_1 \odot f_2, f_1 \rightarrow f_2 : I_1 \cap I_2 \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x), (f_1 \vee f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \vee f_2(x),$$

$$(f_1 \odot f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \odot [x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow f_2(x)] \stackrel{mtl-cs}{=} f_2(x) \odot [x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow f_1(x)],$$

$$(f_1 \rightarrow f_2)(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \odot [f_1(x) \rightarrow f_2(x)],$$

for every $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$, and let

$$\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \wedge \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \wedge f_2), \widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \vee \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \vee f_2),$$

$$\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \odot \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \odot f_2), \widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \mapsto \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \rightarrow f_2).$$

So, $(A_{\mathcal{F}}, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \mapsto, \mathbf{0} = \widehat{(A, \mathbf{0})}, \mathbf{1} = \widehat{(A, \mathbf{1})})$ become a *MTL*-algebra called *MTL*-algebra of localization of A relative to the topology \mathcal{F} .

To obtain the maximal *MTL*-algebra of quotients $Q(A)$ as a localization relative to a topology \mathcal{F} we have to develop another theory of multipliers (meaning we add new axioms for \mathcal{F} -multipliers).

A *strong* - \mathcal{F} -multiplier is a mapping $f : I \rightarrow A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ (where $I \in \mathcal{F}$) which verifies the axioms *mMTL*₁, *mMTL*₂ and

- (*mMTL*₃) If $e \in I \cap B(A)$, then $f(e) \in B(A/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$;

- (*mMTL*₄) $(x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \wedge f(e) = (e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \wedge f(x)$, for every $e \in I \cap B(A)$ and $x \in I$.

Analogous as in the case of \mathcal{F} -multipliers if we work with strong- \mathcal{F} -multipliers we obtain a *MTL*-subalgebra of $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ denoted by $s - A_{\mathcal{F}}$ which will be called the strong-localization *MTL*-algebra of A with respect to the topology \mathcal{F} .

We describe the localization *MTL*-algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ in some special instances:

If we consider the Łukasiewicz structure $A = I = [0, 1]$ and the topology $\mathcal{F}(I) = \{I' \in \mathcal{I}(A) : I \subseteq I'\}$ then $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not a Boolean algebra.

In the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(A)$, $s - A_{\mathcal{F}}$ is exactly the maximal *MTL*-algebra $Q(A)$ of quotients of A which is a Boolean algebra

If \mathcal{F}_S is the topology associated with a \wedge -closed system $S \subseteq A$, then the *MTL*-algebra $s - A_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is isomorphic with $B(A[S])$.

Chapter 3 : Localization of Pseudo MTL - algebras

In this chapter we develop - taking as a guide-line the case of MTL -algebras - the theory of localization for pseudo MTL - algebras (which are non-commutative generalization of these). The main topic of this chapter is to generalize to pseudo MTL - algebras the notions of MTL - algebras of multipliers, MTL - algebra of fractions and maximal MTL - algebra of quotients. The structure, methods and techniques in this chapter are analogous to the structure, methods and techniques for MTL - algebras exposed in Chapter 2.

We recall that a *pseudo MTL - algebra* ([43]) is an algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ equipped with an order \leq satisfying the following axioms:

- ($psMTL_1$) $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice relative to the order \leq ;
- ($psMTL_2$) $(A, \odot, 1)$ is a monoid;
- ($psMTL_3$) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ iff $y \leq x \rightsquigarrow z$, for every $x, y, z \in A$;
- ($psMTL_4$) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x) = 1$, for every $x, y \in A$ (pseudo-prelinearity).

If A satisfies only the axioms $psMTL_1, psMTL_2$ and $psMTL_3$ then A is called a *pseudo residuated lattice*.

- If additionally for any $x, y \in A$ the pseudo MTL -algebra A satisfies the axiom ($psMTL_5$) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x = x \odot (x \rightsquigarrow y) = x \wedge y$ (pseudo-divisibility), then A is a *pseudo BL - algebra*.

If A satisfies the axioms $psMTL_1, psMTL_2, psMTL_3$ and $psMTL_5$ then it is a *bounded divisible pseudo residuated lattice*. These structures were also studied under the name *bounded RL -monoids*.

A pseudo MTL - algebra A is called commutative if the operation \odot is commutative. In this case the operations \rightarrow and \rightsquigarrow coincide, and thus, a commutative pseudo- MTL algebra is a MTL algebra.

In this chapter by A we denote the universe of a pseudo MTL - algebra and by $C(A) = \{x \in A : x \odot (x \rightsquigarrow a) = (x \rightarrow a) \odot x, \text{ for every } a \leq x, a \in A\}$. (Clearly, if A is a MTL - algebra or a pseudo BL - algebra, then $C(A) = A$.)

Also, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(A) = \{I \subseteq A : \text{if } x, y \in A, x \leq y \text{ and } y \in I, \text{ then } x \in I\}$ and by $\mathcal{I}'(A) = \{I = J \cap C(A), J \in \mathcal{I}(A)\}$.

So, in the case of pseudo MTL - algebras we replace $\mathcal{I}(A)$ by $\mathcal{I}'(A)$.

If A is a MTL - algebra or a pseudo BL - algebra, then $\mathcal{I}'(A) = \mathcal{I}(A)$ is the set of all ordered ideals of A .

The original results of this chapter are contained in [63].

Chapter 4 : Open problems and new directions of research

This chapter contains some open problems relative to the topics of this thesis:

1. To characterize the MTL algebras A with the property that $Ds(A)$ is Stone lattice (respective, normal or co-normal lattice, MV algebra, LMn algebra).
2. The problem of the unicity (up to an isomorphism of Boolean algebras) for the maximal MTL (pseudo- MTL) algebra of quotients $Q(A)$ for a MTL (pseudo- MTL) algebra A .
3. A non-standard construction of the maximal MTL (pseudo- MTL) algebra of quotients as in the case of lattices (see [91]).
4. A study of localization without use the boolean center.
5. The translation of some properties of deductive systems (congruence filters) included in Chapter 1 in the case of ideals of a commutative ring.

and new directions of research:

1. *Development of a localization theory for residuated lattices (in commutative and non-commutative cases).*
2. *A study of pure filters and stable topology on on residuated lattices.*
3. *To obtain an orthogonal decompositions of prime filter spaces of residuated lattices.*
4. *To extinde for non-commutative case the results from [23].*
5. *A study of minimal pure filters in residuated lattices.* As model for this direction of research will be the papers [72], [71] and [75].
6. To study the structure of MTL algebra of localization for algebra Lindenbaum-Tarski of the logic MTL and the reflection of properties of logic MTL in this algebraic structure.
7. The study for a MTL algebra A of the different types of deductive systems (congruence filters)in $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ in connection with those of A .
8. The study of the position of the results of this thesis in connection with another domains as: topology, logic, informatics, etc.

Bibliography

- [1] V. M. Abrusci, P. Ruet, *Non-commutative logic I: the multiplicative frequent*, Annals pure appl. Logic, 101 (2000), 29-64.
- [2] R. Balbes, Ph. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, 1974.
- [3] R. Bandot, *Non-commutative logic programming language NoCLog.*, In: Symposium LCCS 2000 (Santa Barbara), short presentation (3pp).
- [4] L. P. Belluce, S. Sessa, *Orthogonal Decompositions of MV-spaces*, Mathware and Soft Computing 4 (1997), 5-22.
- [5] L. P. Belluce, S. Sessa, *The stable topology for MV-algebras*, Quaestiones Math. 23, no.3 (2000), 269-277.
- [6] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory (3rd ed.)*, Colloquim Publications 25, Amer. Math. Soc, 1967.
- [7] T. S. Blyth, M. F. Janovitz, *Residuation Theory*, Pergamon Press, 1972.
- [8] W. J. Blok, D. Pigozzi, *Algebraizable Logics*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 396, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 1989.
- [9] K. Blount, C. Tsinakis, *The structure of residuated lattices*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 13, no.4 (2003), 437-461.
- [10] A. Brezuleanu, R. Diaconescu *Sur la duale de la categorie des treillis*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appliquees, 14, no.3 (1969), 311-329.
- [11] S. Buris, H. P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 78, Springer, 1981.
- [12] D. Buşneag, *Contribuţii la studiul algebrilor Hilbert*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universitatea din Bucureşti, 1985.
- [13] D. Buşneag, *F-multipliers and the localization of Hilbert algebras*, Zeitschr. f. math. Logik and Grundlagen d. Math., Bd. 36, (1990), 331-338.
- [14] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Localization of MV-algebras and lu-group*, Algebra Univers. **50** (2003), 359-380.
- [15] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *BL-algebra of fractions relative to an \wedge -closed system*, Analele Ştiinţifice ale Universităţii Ovidius, Constanţa, Seria Matematică, vol. XI, fascicola 1 (2003), 39-48.
- [16] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *On the lattice of deductive systems of a BL-algebra*, Central European Journal of Mathematics-CEJM **2** (2003), 221-237.
- [17] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *BL-algebra of fractions and maximal BL-algebra of quotients*, Soft Computing, 9 (2005), 544-555.
- [18] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Localization of pseudo BL-algebras*, Rev. Rou. de Math. pures et appliquees, Tome L, No.5-6 (2005), 495-513.
- [19] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Boolean MV-algebras of fractions*, Math. Reports , Volume **7(57)**, No.4 (2005), 265-280.
- [20] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Localization of BL-algebras*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Volume **32**, No.1 (2006), 127-159.
- [21] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Residuated lattice of fractions relative to a \wedge -closed system*, Bull. Math. Sc. Math. Roumanie, Tome 49 (97), No. 1, (2006), 13-24.

- [22] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, *Localization of pseudo MV-algebras and l-groups with strong unit*, Int. Rev. of Fuzzy Math. -IRFM, vol.2, No.1 (2007), 63-95.
- [23] D. Buşneag, D. Piciu, **A. Jeflea**, *Archimedean residuated lattices*, submitted to Analele Stiintifice ale Univ. A. I. Cuza, Iaşi , Sectiunea Matematica.
- [24] C. Cabrer, S. Celani, *Pristley dualities for some lattice-ordered algebraic structures including MTL, IMTL and MV-algebras*, Central European Journal of Mathematics-CEJM 4(4) (2006), 600-623.
- [25] F. Chirteş, *Contribution to the study of LMn-algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bucharest, 2006.
- [26] R. Cignoli, I.M.L. D'Ottaviano, D. Mundici, *Algebraic foundation of many-valued reasoning*, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [27] R. Cignoli, A. Torrens, *An algebraic analysis of product logic*, (preprint), (1998).
- [28] L. Ciungu, *Classes of residuated lattices*, Annals of the Univ. of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser., 33 (2006), 189-207.
- [29] L. Ciungu, *Some classes of pseudo-MTL algebras*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, Tome 50 (98), No. 3, (2007), 223-247.
- [30] L. Ciungu, *Algebraic models for multiple-valued logics, States and convergences on multiple-valued logic algebra*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bucharest, 2007.
- [31] L. Ciungu, *The radical of a perfect residuated structure*, Informatics Sciences, 179 (2009), 2695-2709.
- [32] W. H. Cornish, *The multiplier extension of a distributive lattice*, Journal of Algebra, 32 (1974), 339-355.
- [33] W. H. Cornish, *A multiplier approach to implicative BCK-algebras*, Mathematics Seminar Notes, Kobe University, 8, No.1, 1980.
- [34] C. Dan, *Contribuţii la studiul algebrelor implicative*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universitatea din Bucureşti, 2002.
- [35] D. Diaconescu, G. Georgescu, *On the Forcing Semantics for Monoidal t-norm Based Logic*, J. of Univ. Comput. Sci., vol.13, no. 11 (2007), 1550-1572.
- [36] D. Diaconescu, *Kripke-style semantics for non-commutative monoidal t-norm logic*, draft, 2008.
- [37] A. Diego, *Sur les algèbres de Hilbert*, Ed. Hermann, Collection de Logique Mathématique, Serie A, XXI, Paris, (1966).
- [38] R. P. Dilworth, *Non-commutative residuated lattices*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 46 (1939), 426-444.
- [39] A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BL algebras: Part I,II*, Multiple Valued Logic, 8, No.5-6 (2002), 673-714, 717-750.
- [40] E. Eslami, F. Kh. Haghani, *Pure filters and stable topology on BL-algebras*, Kybernetika, Vol.45, no.3 (2009), 491-506.
- [41] F. Esteva, L. Godo, *Monoidal t-norm based logic : towards a logic for left-continuous t-norms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 124 (2001), 271-288.
- [42] P. Flondor, *Non-commutative connective*, Stud. Inform. Control, 9 (2000), 335-337.
- [43] P. Flondor, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo t-norms and pseudo-BL algebras*, Soft Computing, 5, No 5 (2001), 355-371.
- [44] H. Freytes, *Injectives in residuated algebras*, Algebra universalis, 51 (2004), 373-393.
- [45] P. Gabriel, *Des categories abeliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 323-448.
- [46] N. Galatos, *Varieties of Residuated lattices*, Ph. D. , Vanderbilt University, 2003.

- [47] N. Galatos, P. Jipsen, T. Kowalski, H. Ono, *Residuated lattices: an algebraic glimpse at structural logic*, Studies in Logic and the foundations of math., Vol. 151, Elsevier Science, 2007.
- [48] G. Georgescu, *F-multipliers and the localization of distributive lattices*, Algebra Universalis, 21 (1985), 181-197.
- [49] G. Georgescu, M. Ploščica, *Values and minimal spectrum of an algebraic lattice*, Math. Slovaca, **52** No.3, (2002), 247-253.
- [50] G. Georgescu, A. Popescu, *Non-commutative fuzzy structures and pairs of weak negations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 143 (2004), 129-135.
- [51] G. Grätzer, *Lattice theory*, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1979.
- [52] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [53] P. Hájek, J. Ševčík, *On fuzzy predicate calculi with non-commutative conjunction*, Soft Computing., 5 (2001), 355-371.
- [54] P. Hájek, *Fuzzy logics with non-commutative conjunctions*, J. Logic Comput. 13, (2003), 469-479.
- [55] P. Hájek, *Observations on non-commutative fuzzy logic*, Soft Computing. 8 (2003), 38-43.
- [56] U. Höhle, *Commutative residuated monoids*, in: U. Höhle, P. Klement (eds), Non-classical Logics and Their Applications to Fuzzy Subsets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- [57] R. Horčík, *Stronger version of standard completeness theorem for MTL*, EUSFLAT-LFA (2005), 878-883.
- [58] P. M. Idziak, *Lattice operations in BCK-algebras*, Mathematica Japonica, 29(1984), 839-846.
- [59] I. D. Ion, N. Radu, *Algebra (in romanian)*, Ed. Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1991.
- [60] A. Iorgulescu: *Classes of BCK algebras-Part III*, Preprint Series of The Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, preprint nr.3 (2004), 1-37.
- [61] A. Iorgulescu, *Algebras of logic as BCK algebras*, Ed. ASE, Bucharest, 2008.
- [62] A. Iorgulescu, S. Marcus, S. Rudeanu, D. Vaida (Editors), *Grigore C. Moisil and his followers*, Ed. Academiei Romane, Bucharest, 2007.
- [63] **A. Jeflea**, *Localization of pseudo MTL-algebras*, Annals of the University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Series, Vol. 36(1) (2009), 122-144 .
- [64] **A. Jeflea**, R. Cretan, *On the lattice of congruence filters of a residuated lattice*, Annals of the University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Series, Vol. **33** (2006), 174-188.
- [65] S. Jenei, F. Montagna, *A proof of standard completeness for non-commutative monoidal t-norm logic*, Neural Network World, 13 (2003), 481-488.
- [66] P. T. Johstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [67] E.P.Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular norms*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2000).
- [68] T. Kowalski, *The bottom of the lattice of BCK-varieties*, Reports on mathematical Logic, 29(1995), 87-93.
- [69] T. Kowalski, H. Ono, *Residuated lattices: an algebraic glimpse at logic without contraction*, monograph, 2001.
- [70] W. Krull, *Axiomatische Begründung der allgemeinen Ideal theorie*, Sitzungsberichte der physikalisch medizinischen Societäd der Erlangen 56 (1924), 47-63.
- [71] J. Kühr, *Prime ideals and polars in DRL-monoids and pseudo-BL algebras*, Math. Slovaca, **53** (2003), 233-246.
- [72] J. Kühr, *Pseudo-BCK-algebras and related structures*, Univerzita Plackého v Olomouci, 2007.

- [73] J. Lambek, *The mathematics of sentence structure*, American Math. Month. 12 (1958), 166-178.
- [74] J. Lambek, *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1966.
- [75] L. Leuştean, *Representations of many-valued algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bucharest, 2003.
- [76] C. Năstăsescu, *Inele. Module. Categorii.*, Ed. Academiei, Bucureşti, (1976).
- [77] W. Nemitz, *Semi-Boolean lattices*, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, Vol.10, No.3 (1969), 235-238.
- [78] M. Okada, K. Terui, *The finite model property for various fragments of intuitionistic linear logic*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 64 (1999), 790-802.
- [79] H. Ono, Y. Komori, *Logics without the contraction rule*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 50 (1985), 169-201.
- [80] J. Pavelka, *On fuzzy logic II. Enriched residuated lattices and semantics of propositional calculi*, Zeitschrift für mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik 25 (1979), 119-134.
- [81] D. Piciu, *Localization of BL and MV algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bucharest, 2004.
- [82] D. Piciu, *Algebras of fuzzy logic*, Ed. Universitaria, Craiova, 2007.
- [83] D. Piciu, **A. Jeflea**, *MTL algebras of fractions and maximal MTL algebras of quotients*, submitted to Bull. Math. de la Soc. de Sci. Math. de Roumanie.
- [84] D. Piciu, **A. Jeflea**, *Localization of MTL algebras*, Conference : Algebra and Probability in Many-Valued Logics, Darmstadt, May 7-9, 2009.
- [85] D. Piciu, **A. Jeflea**, R. Cretan, *On the lattice of deductive systems of a residuated lattice*, Annals of the University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Series, Volume **35** (2008), 199-210.
- [86] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories*, Ed. Academiei, Bucureşti, (1971).
- [87] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, Academic Press, New York (1973).
- [88] N. Popescu, L. Popescu, *Theory of categories*, Ed. Academiei, Bucureşti si Ed. Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers, (1979).
- [89] S. Rudeanu, *Localizations and Fractions in Algebra of Logic*, to appear in Journal of Multiple Valued Logic and Soft Computing (38 pages).
- [90] J. Schmid, *Multipliers on distributive lattices and rings of quotients*, Houston Journal of Mathematics, vol.6, no. 3 (1980), 401-425.
- [91] J. Schmid, *Distributive lattices and rings of quotients*, Coll. Math. Societatis Janos Bolyai, 33, Szeged, Hungary, (1980).
- [92] B. Strenström, *Flatnes and localization over monoids*, Math. Nachrichten **48** (1971), 315-334.
- [93] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, Physica-Verlag, 1999.
- [94] P. Vojtáš, *Fuzzy logic programming*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 124 (2001), 361-370.
- [95] P. Vojtáš, J. Paulik, *Soudness and completeness of non-classical extended resolution*, In Proc. ELP'96, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 1050, Springer-Verlag (1996), 289-301.
- [96] M. Ward, *Residuated distributive lattices*, Duke Mathematical Journal 6 (1940), 641-651.
- [97] M. Ward, R. P. Dilworth, *Residuated lattices*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 45 (1939), 335-354.